

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/755,966	01/12/2004	John Paul Helgeson	WARF-0235	3588	
23377	7590 03/24/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR			IBRAHIM, MEI	IBRAHIM, MEDINA AHMED	
1650 MARKET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			1638		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/755,966	HELGESON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Medina A. Ibrahim	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iiil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01/12 This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-65 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	∆ □	(DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-32, 38-45, 47-65, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid, an expression vector, transgenic plant, and a plant transformation method, classified in class 800, subclass 279, for example.
- II. Claims 33-35, drawn to isolated polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350, for example.
- III. Claims 36 and 37, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1, for example.
- IV. Claim 46, drawn to a kit for enhancing disease resistance, classified in class 435, subclass 6, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

For each of the inventions I-III above, restriction is also required to one nucleic acid or polypeptide sequence from SEQ ID NO: 4-8, and 23

The sequences of SEQ ID NO: 4-8 and 23 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions comprise structurally different nucleic acids. Also, the different sequences have different level of effects. In addition, since each sequence is disclosed in specific SEQ ID NO: the structural difference between the sequences would not have obvious over each other.

Art Unit: 1638

The inventions I-V are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to a divergent product having different structure and function.

The polypeptide of group II and nucleic acid of group I are patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Polypeptides, which are composed of amino acids, and nucleic acids, which are composed of purine and pyrimidine units, are structurally distinct molecules; any relationship between a nucleic acid and polypeptide is dependent upon the information provided by the nucleic acid sequence open reading frame as it corresponds to the primary amino acid sequence of the encoded polypeptide. In the present claims, a nucleic acid of group I does not necessarily encode a polypeptide of group II. For example, the nucleic acid of claim 1 is 70% identical to SEQ ID NO: 4 or 7, and therefore would not encode the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 5 or 8 of group II. Furthermore, while a polypeptide of group II can made by methods using some, but not all, of the nucleic acids that fall within the scope of group I, it can also be recovered from a natural source using by biochemical means. For instance, the polypeptide can be isolated using affinity chromatography. For all these reasons, the inventions of groups I and II are patentably distinct.

Furthermore, searching the inventions of groups I and II together would impose a

Art Unit: 1638

serious search burden. In the instant case, the search of the polypeptides and the nucleic acids are not coextensive. The inventions of Groups I and II have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. In cases such as this one where descriptive sequence information is provided, the sequences are searched in appropriate databases. There is search burden also in the non-patent literature. Prior to the concomitant isolation and expression of the sequence of interest there may be journal articles devoted solely to polypeptides which would not have described the nucleic acid. Similarly, there may have been "classical" genetics papers which had no knowledge of the polypeptide but spoke to the gene. Searching, therefore is not coextensive. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of groups I and II together.

Inventions I and III are unrelated because they are directed to structurally and functionally distinct products. The nucleic acid of Group I will not encode the antibody of Group III. The instant specification does not disclose that the antibody of Group III can be used in the plant transformation method of Group I. Inventions I and III have separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. Searching these inventions together would impose serious search burden.

The polypeptide of Group II and the antibody of Group III are patentably distinct because they differ in structure, function and effects. While the inventions of both Group II and III are both polypeptides, in this instant the polypeptide of Group II is a single chain molecule that functions as an enzyme, whereas the polypeptide of Group III encompasses antibodies including IgG which comprises 2 heavy and 2 light chains

Art Unit: 1638

containing constant and variable regions, and including framework regions which act as a scaffold for the 6 complementarity determining regions (CDRs) that function to bind an epitope. Thus, the polypeptide of Group II and the antibody of Group III are structurally and functionally distinct molecules. Furthermore, searching the inventions of Group II and III would impose a serious search burden. The inventions have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. A polypeptide and an antibody which binds to the polypeptide require different searches. An amino acid sequence search of the full-length protein is necessary for a determination of novelty and unobviousness of the polypeptide. However, such a search is not required to identify the antibodies of Group IV. Furthermore, antibodies, which bind to an epitope of a polypeptide of Group II, may be known even if a polypeptide of Group II is novel. In addition, the technical literature search for the polypeptide of Group II and the antibody of Group III are not coextensive, e.g., antibodies may be characterized in the technical literature prior to discovery of or sequence of their binding target. Therefore, inventions of Groups II and III are patentably distinct.

The Invention of Group IV requires a kit, which is required by any of the other groups. Therefore, the search of any of the groups would not reveal the invention of Group IV. Therefore, Group IV would require a separate search.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons set forth above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications and their recognized divergent subject matter and because the literature search required for the groups is not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Medina A. Ibrahim whose telephone number is (571) 272-0797. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday -Thursday from 8:00AM to 5:30PM and every other Friday from 9:00AM to 5:00 PM . Before and after final responses should be directed to fax nos. (703) 872-9306 and (703) 872-9307, respectively.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached at (571) 272-0795.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

03/16/06 Mai

> MEDINA A. IBRAHIM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Medins A. Iba